

HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 5699 FIS920040258US1 Kevin S. Petrarca 09/30/2004 10/711,700 **EXAMINER** 07/14/2006 32074 7590 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION NGUYEN, TRAM HOANG DEPT. 18G ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLDG. 300-482 2818 2070 ROUTE 52

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/711,700	PETRARCA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tram H. Nguyen	2818
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SET IN 10 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under B</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-12 and 16-20 is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	e withdrawn from consideration	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Application  Its rity documents have been rece  Its u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	• •
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	<b>—</b>	al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/17/2006</u>.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,700

Art Unit: 2818

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **New Grounds of Rejection**

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Andricacos et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,709,562 B1) (hereinafter Andricacos).

Regarding **claim 1**, Andricacos discloses a copper interconnect (fig. 6) comprising: an impure copper seed layer (reference numeral 5) derived from an impure copper source with a content of impurities that is deposited on a barrier layer (reference

Art Unit: 2818

numeral 4), said barrier layer (4) prevents substantial diffusion of copper through to an underlying insulating layer (reference numeral 1); an impure copper (reference numeral 6) derived from an impure copper source with a content of impurities that fills an opening in said underlying insulating layer (6) that is deposited on said impure copper seed layer (5). Although, Andricacos does not explicitly teach the material composition of said seed layer is substantially the same as material composition of said impure copper fill, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the same material composition for both the impure copper seed layer and the impure copper fill, since it is going to lower the cost of making the product.

Regarding **claim 2**, Andricacos discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the same reasons are set-forth above except for the copper source of said impure copper seed layer is equivalent to said copper source of said impure copper. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the same material composition for both the impure copper seed layer and the impure copper fill, since it is going to lower the cost of making the product.

Regarding to **claim 3**, Andricacos discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention; on another hand, the Applicant admits the copper in the impure copper seed layer and the electroplated copper are both derived from a source with an impurity content of not more than 1.2 % by weight and not less than or equal to 0.001% by

Application/Control Number: 10/711,700

**Art Unit: 2818** 

weight are general well-known impure copper sources (present Invention's specification: pg. 5, par. 20, lines 4-9).

Regarding claim 4, Andricacos discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the same reasons are set-forth above except for explicitly teaching the impure copper in said impure copper seed layer is substantially equivalent to said impure copper. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the same material composition for both the impure copper seed layer and the impure copper fill, since it is going to lower the cost of making the product.

Regarding **claim 5**, Andricacos discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the same reasons are set-forth above; besides Andricacos also discloses the copper in said impure copper source comprises chosen from the group of C, Cl, N, O and S (col. 8, lines 41-46).

Regarding to **claim 13**, Andricacos discloses a copper interconnect (fig. 6) comprising: an insulating layer that has an opening (reference numeral 1); a barrier layer (reference numeral 4) that prevents substantial diffusion of copper through to said underlying insulating layer that is deposited on said underlying insulating layer and lines said opening; an impure copper seed derived from an impure copper seed with content of impurity that Is deposited on said barrier layer and fills said opening (fig. 6); an impure copper (reference numeral 6) derived from an impure copper source with a content of impurities that fills an opening in said underlying insulating layer (6) that is

Art Unit: 2818

deposited on said impure copper seed layer (5). Although, Andricacos does not explicitly teach the material composition of said seed layer is substantially the same as material composition of said impure copper fill, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the same material composition for both the impure copper seed layer and the impure copper fill, since it is going to lower the cost of making the product.

Regarding **claim 14**, Andricacos discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention; on another hand, the Applicant admits the copper in the impure copper seed layer and the electroplated copper are both derived from a source with an impurity content of not more than 1.20 % by weight and not less than or equal to 0.001% by weight are general well-known impure copper sources (present Invention's specification: pg. 5, par. 20, lines 4-9).

Regarding **claim 15**, Andricacos discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the same reasons are set-forth above; besides Andricacos also discloses the copper in said impure copper source comprises chosen from the group of C, Cl, N, O and S (col. 8, lines 41-46).

# Response to Applicant's Amendment and Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,700 Page 6

Art Unit: 2818

### Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tram H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-5526. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax numbers for all communication(s) is (703)872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,700

Art Unit: 2818

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1625.

Tram H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818

July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2006

DOUGLAS W. OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dough 4. Owen